# VQEG Meeting Minutes

### Thanks to Margaret for taking notes.

### Monday, 8 July 2013

Attendees were introduced and each project was summarized. See the summary report on the VQEG website. Standards committee updates followed.

### Hybrid

Status update on databases was presented (see meeting files). Some confusion exists on which version of some PVSs should be used.

***Agreement:*** Dropboxes will no longer be used.

***Action Item:*** People creating PVSs must delete obsolete versions of each PVS (i.e., where extra copies exist).

***Agreement:*** Databases will be distributed using Marcus’ FTP site.

***Finished datasets:*** HD 3-5, VGA 1-3, and WVGA 1. Other datasets are partially completed. 4 are still to be completed.

Marcus proposed a solution for rebuffering that involved a simulation. T-Labs and SwissQual proposed fixing their model to accommodate those impairments. The ILG wants to give all labs an identical opportunity to perform similar fixes. This is a delicate situation, since models were submitted and HRCs redistributed.

Interest was expressed in including in the Hybrid Final Report a supplementary analysis that compares model performance before and after bug fixes for the purpose of transparency. That report must neither be so vague as to include no statistics and also not so explicit that the full analysis of models before bug fixes will cause people reading the report to reach contradictory conclusions.

Strong support exists to find a way to include rebuffering in the Hybrid test.

Options followed by a non-binding ranking/preference:

1. Drop rebuffering in WVGA2 and replace with other HRCs.
2. Include rebuffering, include model fix of proponents without any analysis of models pre- and post-fix results.
3. Include rebuffering, include model fix of proponents and analyze models pre- and post-fix results in the Hybrid Final Report.
4. Postpone rebuffering to a 2nd test, with submission of updated models.

DT: 2,4,3,1

SwissQual: 2,4,1,3

Opticom: 3,1,2,4

Yonsei: no strong preference

NTIA: 3,2,1,4

FUB: 4,3,2,1

Acreo: 3,2,4,1

IRCCyN: 3,2,4,1

Ghent: 3,2,1,4

UWS: 2,4,3,1

It was noted that options 2 and 3 were preferred over 1 and 4.